

Scoring Rubric for Frank G. Brooks Award for Outstanding Oral Presentation

Complete the rubric for each presenter (1-9) using the following score choices for each criterion below: 0-1=poor or not included, 2=subpar, 3=average to good, 4=very good to excellent.

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Hypethesis and/or objectives clearly stated?									
Study introduced in proper context with published research?									
Aesthetic quality of the presentation?									
Quality of oral expression? (eye contact, voice projection, minimal distracting mannerisms, smooth pacing, enthusiasm, confidence)									
Do the methods appear to be adequate to answer the research question asked & were adequate controls used?									
Were enough data presented & in an easily understandable and/or readable manner?									
Appropriate anaylsis of results? (statistics comparison to prior research, logical explanations, recognition of weaknesses)									
Could the presenter answer relevant questions?									
Was appropriate time allotted to each section of the talk?									
Total									

- Ties should be broken by taking into favorable condition the following items—listed in no particular order: 1) completed studies over studies in progress, 2) stand-alone research rather than group projects, 3) demonstrated ability to overcome obstacles, and 4) obvious differences in amount of time spend to accumulate data.
- Please provide any professional feedback to each student presenter by completing the attached “Peer Evaluation” form.
- Once all judges have agreed on a 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and Honorable Mention (if needed) for the section, return scoring sheets and overall placement to the Regional Vice President.